## Open-ended peer evaluation: form peer2

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name | Robert Bulai | |  |  |
| Team | Q | | Instructor | Dr. Honig |
| Date | **10/25/2020** |  |  |  |

# PEER2 – Team PDCA

PDCA = Plan, Do, Check, Act. It is the basic quality improvement process. Take a moment to reflect on your team’s work, then answer the following questions:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Team Feedback | your answers (Use as much space as needed) |
| What did my team do best? | I really feel like my team did a great job with communication overall, as well as splitting up the work and getting the work done promptly. At no point did we have to nag each other to get certain parts of the deliverable done, and we only checked in with one another to ask about progress. Also, the quality of our work seems great. The documents came out good for the most part, and the people who wrote the code wrote great working code. |
| What do we need to change or do better? | I think the shared understanding of the code could be improved a lot (and this is coming from someone who didn’t work on code for Cycle 1). I’m going to work on code for Cycle 2, but I don’t really understand the code, so I don’t even know how to begin working on my part. I think the same could be said about another group member who also didn’t work on code for Cycle 1 (but I could be wrong). |
| What other suggestions for improvement do you recommend? | In conjunction with my point above, I think just making sure everyone is working on at least one aspect of the code is a good idea. Only half of us touched it (in my case, I suggested that the data structure be a graph, but it was someone else who found a library to work with and only half of us actually wrote the current code). Fortunately, we’re already doing this with Cycle 2, and probably for Cycle 3 as well. It’ll serve as a good learning experience for the less programming-savvy people in the group (like me). |

# PEER2 – Team member PDCA

Please give some thought to each team member and your experiences working with them. Provide some feedback and make some helpful suggestions to improve the future performance of the person. One idea for thinking about improvement suggestions is to ask yourself “What do I wish the team member had done MORE of during the cycle?” and “What do I wish the team member had done LESS of during the cycle?”

Please make at least one improvement suggestion for each of your teammates.

Replace the letters in the TEAM MEMBER column with the team member’s names. Rating code (1 = inadequate to 5 = superior, the step or increment is 0.5. For example: 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5….. ). Each team member will give a rating to all other team members. Each rating will be a different number between 5 and 0.5. Everyone should not be a 5.0! Give at least one improvement suggestion to each team member. You do NOT rate yourself

YOUR RATING WILL NOT IMPACT THEIR GRADES IN ANYWAY. USE THIS TO HELP YOUR TEAM MATES LEARN ABOUT THEMSELVES.

GIVING INCOMPLETE OR NON THOUGHTFUL FEEDBACK **WILL IMPACT \*YOUR GRADE\*.** Please take time to do this well.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Team member | Overall Rating | your suggestions for improvement (Use as much space as needed) |
| Collin | 4.5 | I REALLY CAN’t come up with anything that collin SHOULD’ve done *more* OF, CONSIDERING HOW MUCH WORK HE’S DONE AND THE LEVEL HE’s been communicating at. If anything, and I'm not sure if this is even a valid suggestion, but I guess collin COULD’VE “TAKEN THE REIGNS” less, and let others have to assume responsibility whenever we needed to plan a meeting or something along those lines. |
| Jordan | 4.5 | Considering the amount of work jordan HAS DONE SO FAR, THE ONLY THING I CAN REALLY THINK OF FOR HIM IS JUST TO CHECK TEAMS MORE OFTEN, AS SOMETIMES HE WON’t REPLY TO something that pertains to him FOR QUITE SOME TIME. ASIDE FROM THAT, I GENUINELY CAN’t think of anything else. |
| Michael | 4.0 | I think michael SHOULD HAVE HAD SOME PART IN THE CODE for cycle 1, AND THE ONLY REASON HE DIDN’t WAS BECAUSE WHEN WE MET AS A GROUP TO DIVVY UP THE WORK, MICHAEL WASN'T PRESENT, SO WE JUST ASKED HIM TO DO WHAT WE DIDN’t HAVE COVERED ALREADY. I ONLY SUGGEST THIS BECAUSE I THINK IT WOULD’VE BEEN MORE BENEFICIAL FOR HIM NOW AS HE IS STARTING TO DELVE INTO THE CODE FOR CYCLE 2. THIS IS COMING FROM SOMEONE WHO ALSO DIDN’t work on the code for cycle 1, and I'm having a harder time trinyg to delve into it at this point, and I think michael MIGHT BE TOO (I COULD BE TOTALLY WRONG TOO, BUT IT’s a thought). If michael and I worked on code for cycle 1, we would probably be better off right now for cycle 2 code. |
| D |  |  |
| E |  |  |
| F |  |  |
| G |  |  |
| H |  |  |
| I |  |  |
| J |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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